

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Offic

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/667,281 09/22/00 **EDENHOFER** J GR 99 P 2886 **EXAMINER** MMC2/1011 LERNER AND GREENBERG PA TA, T POST OFFICE BOX 2480 **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER HOLLYWOOD FL 33022-2480 2833 DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

10/11/01

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|----------------------------|---|
| · · | 09/667,281 | EDENHOFER ET AL. |
| · Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Tho D. Ta | 2833 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap | pears on the cov r she t w | ith the correspondence address |
| Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM | | |
| THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | <u> </u> | |
| 2a)☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ T | nis action is non-final. | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | |
| Disposition of Claims | · . | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the applicatio | n. | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected. | | |
| 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | |
| Application Papers | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine | er. | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | |
| 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | |
| 12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | |
| 13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | |
| a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: | | |
| 1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | |
| Attachment(s) | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of | Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office A | ction Summary | Part of Paper No. 6 |

Application/Control Number: 09/667,281

Art Unit: 2833

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wakino et al. (5,181,864).

In regard to claims 1, 11, Wakino et al. discloses a plug-in connector comprising: a plastic member 21 including a material 15 having conductive properties; and contact pins 5 embedded in the plastic member 21.

Wakino et al. does not disclose that the material 15 having conductive properties at voltages above a given working voltage range and having insulating properties at voltages in the given working voltage range. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Wakino et al. invention by constructing the material 15 having conductive properties at voltages above a given working voltage range and having insulating properties at voltages in the given working voltage range since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious engineering choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416 (CCPA 1960).

. Application/Control Number: 09/667,281

Art Unit: 2833

In regard to claims 2, 12, Wakino et al. discloses that the material 15 is disposed in a form-fitting manner around the contact pins 5; the plastic member 21 has a conducting surface 29a; and the conducting surface 29a electrically contacts the material 15 and is disposed at a respective spacing distance form the contact pins 5.

In regard to claims 3, 4, 13, 14, Wakino et al. discloses that the material is a varistor material:

In regard to claims 5, 6, 15, 16, Wakino et al. discloses that the material 15 is a mixture of a plastic and a carbon powder (column 3, lines 30-36).

In regard to claims 7, 8, 17, 18, Wakino et al. discloses that the plastic member 21 includes a base material; and the material 15 is a mixture of a plastic and a carbon powder (column 3, lines 30-36).

In regard to claims 9, 19, Wakino et al. is silent about the material properties of the material 15.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Wakino et al. invention by constructing the material 15 including between 5 and 15 percent by weight of the carbon powder since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the

Application/Control Number: 09/667,281

Art Unit: 2833

basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious engineering choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416 (CCPA 1960).

In regard to claims 10, 20, Wakino et al. is silent about the material properties of the plastic member. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Wakino et al. invention by constructing the plastic member 21 including a crystalline component and a noncrystalline component since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious engineering choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416 (CCPA 1960).

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tho D. Ta whose telephone number is (703) 308-0800. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-5:30). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paula A. Bradley can be reached on (703) 308-2319. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7724 for After Final communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

Modella

tdt

October 8, 2001